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#### Abstract

The reaction of $(S, E)$-3-( $p$-tolylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one with an isopropyl radical, generated from isopropyl iodide and triethylborane, gives the non-stereoselective addition product and an unexpected $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone which is formed through a radical addition and subsequent Pummerer-type rearrangement. The formation of the $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone depends upon the additives used as well as the aryl group on the sulfur.


## Introduction

Recently, radical reactions have been recognized as a means for stereoselective carbon-carbon bond formation. ${ }^{1}$ There are a number of reports of asymmetric radical reactions using chiral auxiliaries. ${ }^{2}$ While the sulfinyl group has been recognized as an attractive chiral auxiliary in radical 1,2-asymmetric induction, ${ }^{3}$ there are only a few reports on radical $\beta$-addition to chiral vinyl sulfoxides. ${ }^{4}$ We have reported a stereoselective intermolecular radical $\beta$-addition reaction of 2 -(arylsulfinyl)cycloalk-2enones, ${ }^{5}$ in which a chiral sulfinyl group having a sterically bulky aryl group such as a $2,4,6$-triisopropylphenyl or 2,4,6trimethylphenyl group shows extremely high diastereoselectivity in the radical $\beta$-addition. We report herein the results of an intermolecular $\beta$-addition of alkyl radicals to acyclic $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl) enones.

## Results and discussion

We studied the radical $\beta$-addition to acyclic $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl) enones $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ which were prepared from the sulfinates $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ in
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three steps. The reaction of sulfinates $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ with prop-1-enylmagnesium bromide, which was prepared from magnesium and a mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-1-bromoprop-1-ene, gave a mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-aryl prop-1-enyl sulfoxides 5 in good yields (Scheme 1). ${ }^{6}$ A mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)-5$ was treated with 2 equiv. of LDA at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequently with an excess of acetaldehyde to afford the 3-(arylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-ol 6


Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHMgBr}$, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{rt}$; ii, LDA, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$, THF, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; iii, Jones oxidation or Swern oxidation, and subsequent purification by recrystallization (7a and 7d) or flash column chromatography (7c)
which was composed mainly of the $(E)$-isomer due to cis-trans isomerization during the reaction. ${ }^{7}$ Oxidation of 6 was accomplished by Jones oxidation ${ }^{8}$ or Swern oxidation ${ }^{7 a}$ to give the 3-(arylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one 7. ( $E$ )-7a and ( $E$ )-7d could be isolated by recrystallization from diethyl ether and $(E)-7$ c by flash column chromatography. A mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)-7 \mathbf{b}$ in an $E: Z$ ratio of $72: 28$ was used without separation of the isomers in the following radical reaction.

The $\beta$-addition of an isopropyl radical to $\alpha$-(arlysulfinyl) enones $7 \mathbf{a}-7 \mathbf{d}$ was carried out as follows. To a degassed solution of the $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl) enone 7 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$ was added isopropyl iodide ( 10 equiv.) and triethylborane ( 10 equiv.) as a radical initiator ${ }^{9}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and air was continuously passed through the solution via a needle by a microfeeder. ${ }^{10}$ The results are shown in Table 1.

The reaction of ( $S, E$ )-3-( $p$-tolylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one 7a with an isopropyl radical gave a diastereomeric mixture of the addition products 8 a with low diastereoselectivity (entry 1). The addition product with an ethyl radical generated from triethylborane was not formed. ${ }^{11}$ Reactions in the presence of $\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OP}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{2},{ }^{12} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OPr}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ did not alter the stereoselectivity substantially (entries 2-6). We expected a low stereoselectivity, as the $p$-tolyl group is not as effective as the 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl or 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl group in inducing high stereoselectivity as we observed

Table 1 Radical $\beta$-addition to $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl) enones 7 with isopropyl iodide and triethylborane

a: $\mathrm{Ar}=(S)-p$-tolyl
b: $\mathrm{Ar}=4$-methoxyphenyl
c: $\mathrm{Ar}=4$-chlorophenyl
d: $\mathrm{Ar}=(S)-2,4,6$-triisopropylphenyl

| Entry | Enone | Additive | $t / \mathrm{h}$ | 8 |  | $\frac{9}{\text { Yield (\%) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Yield (\%) | Ratio |  |
| 1 | 7 a | none | 1 | 75 | 21:13:41:19 | 12 |
| 2 | 7 a | $\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OPr}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{2}$ | 1 | 60 | 13:20:35:32 | 23 |
| 3 | 7 a | $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OP}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{4}$ | 1 | 80 | 26:14:48:12 | 10 |
| 4 | 7 a | $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ | 1 | 79 | $39: 11: 40: 10$ | 16 |
| 5 | 7 a | $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ | 1 | 79 | 45:10:35:10 | 17 |
| 6 | 7 a | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 1 | 80 | 30:15:42:13 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 a | $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ | 1 | 0 | - | 57 |
| 8 | $7 b^{a}$ | none | 2 | 77 | 17:11:56:16 | 21 |
| 9 | 7c | none | 1 | 91 | 21:13:49:17 | 6 |
| 10 | 7d | none | 1.5 | 0 | - | 58 |
| 11 | 7d | $\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OP}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{2}$ | 45 | 0 | - | 23 |
| 12 | 7d | $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 1.5 | 0 | - | 33 |
| 13 | 7d | $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ | $0.7$ | $0$ | - | 99 |
| 14 | 7d | galvinoxyl | 3 days | no reaction |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ An $E: Z=72: 28$ mixture was used.


Fig. 1
in the reaction of 2-(arylsulfinyl)cyclopent-2-enones. ${ }^{5}$ It was, however, surprising that an unexpected product, 4,5-dimethyl3 -( $p$-tolylsulfanyl)hex-3-en-2-one 9a, was formed besides the addition products $\mathbf{8 a}$. The yield of the $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone $\mathbf{9 a}$ increased when the reaction was carried out in the presence of $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$, where the addition product was not obtained at all (entry 7). The yield of the $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone 9 increased in the reaction of ( $E$ )-3-(4-methoxyphenylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2one 7b (entry 8) and decreased in the case of 3 -(4-chloro-phenylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one 7c (entry 9). Next, we examined the radical $\beta$-addition to ( $S, E$ )-3-(2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl-sulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one 7d which has a significant nuclear Overhauser effect ( $11 \%$ ) between the methine proton of the $o$-isopropyl group and the $\beta$-vinyl proton in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Since 2-(2,4,6-triisopropylphenylsulfinyl)cyclopent-2enone, which also has a significant nuclear Overhauser effect between these protons, shows extremely high stereoselection in the radical $\beta$-addition, ${ }^{5}$ high stereoselectivity was anticipated in the radical $\beta$-addition to $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl) enone 7d (see Fig. 1).

However, formation of the $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone 9d was observed in the reaction of $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl) enone 7d, with no addition product $8 \mathbf{d}$ being formed (entries 10-12). The $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone 9d was even obtained almost quantitatively when $p$ - TsOH was added to the reaction mixture (entry 13). Both reactions to form the addition product $\mathbf{8 d}$ and the
$\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone $9 \mathbf{d}$ seemed to proceed via a radical pathway at least in the first step of the alkyl radical addition, because both reactions were completely suppressed by a radical scavenger (entry 14). The presumed reaction mechanism is shown in Scheme 2.
It is well recognized that enones react with alkyl radicals generated from trialkylborane to form a boron enolate via a carbon radical $\alpha$ to the carbonyl group. ${ }^{9,13}$ Thus, an isopropyl radical generated from isopropyl iodide by the action of triethylborane with oxygen, attacks the olefinic carbon $\beta$ to the carbonyl to form a carbon radical $\alpha$ to the carbonyl ( $\mathbf{A}$ ), which then reacts with triethylborane to form the cyclic intermediate $\mathbf{B}$ or the rapidly equilibrated boron enolates $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$. Hydrolysis of the intermediate gives the addition product $\mathbf{8}$. However, the Pummerer-type products 9 are formed in the present reaction of $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl) enones probably because of the easy formation of the thionium intermediate $\mathbf{E}$ from the intermediate B. On the other hand, the radical reaction of the 2-(arylsulfinyl)cycloalk-2-enones produced no such Pummerertype products and induced no racemization of the substrate (see below), due to the difficult formation of the corresponding intermediate $\mathbf{B}^{\prime}$ and the subsequent intermediate $\mathbf{E}^{\prime}$, shown in Scheme 2. ${ }^{5}$ The S-O bond fission in $\mathbf{B}$ forms $\mathbf{E}$ and the subsequent proton abstraction from the $\beta$-carbon gives the $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone 9 as a mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-isomers. Since the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bond fission is the rate-determining step in the Pummerer reaction of sulfoxides having an electron-withdrawing group at the $\alpha$-position, ${ }^{14}$ the electronic nature of the substituent on the sulfur should have an influence on this step. In the reaction of the $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl) enone $7 \mathbf{b}$ having an electrondonating 4 -methoxyphenyl group, the formation of $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone 9b increases due to its thionium-stabilizing effect (Table 1, entry 8), whereas the reaction of the $\alpha$ (arylsulfanyl) enone $7 \mathbf{c}$ having an electron-withdrawing 4chlorophenyl group decreases the stability of intermediate $\mathbf{E}$ and hence the yield of $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone 9 c (Table 1, entry 9). $p$ - TsOH would accelerate the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bond fission to form the thionium ion intermediate $\mathbf{E}$, thus leading to the $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enone 9 exclusively (Table 1, entries 7 and 13). This assumption is quite reasonable, since acids are known to



Scheme 2
catalyze Pummerer-type reactions from sulfoxides to $\alpha$-(arylsulfanyl) enones. ${ }^{15}$ If this mechanistic pathway is correct then the sulfoxide should racemize during the formation of the cyclic boron enolate B. To verify this the following experiment was carried out.
( $S$ )-3-( $p$-Tolylsulfinyl)but-3-en-2-one 12, prepared according to the literature, ${ }^{8,16}$ was treated with isopropyl iodide and triethylborane as described above to give the addition product 11 in $44 \%$ yield and the ethyl adduct ( $38 \%$ yield) (Scheme 3). HPLC analysis (CHIRALCEL OB-H) of the addition product 11 showed four stereoisomers in a ratio of $4: 54: 11: 31$ in order of elution. The retention times for these four stereoisomers were in accord with those for the products obtained on treatment of the racemic isopentyl $p$-tolyl sulfoxide $\mathbf{1 0}$ with lithium diisopropylamide and subsequently with ethyl acetate. These results show that the radical addition gives the racemized sulfoxide $\mathbf{1 1}$, supporting the formation of the cyclic boron enolate intermediate $\mathbf{B}$.

## Experimental

## General

Diethyl ether (ether) and THF were distilled before use from a deep blue solution resulting from addition of benzophenone and sodium. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was distilled from calcium hydride. All reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography on 0.25 mm Merck silica gel ( $60 \mathrm{~F}-254$ ) precoated glass plates. TLC plates were visualized with UV light and 7\% phosphomolybdic
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acid or $p$-anisaldehyde in ethanol. Column chromatography was carried out on a column packed with Fuji Silysia silica gel BW-200. Melting points were measured on a Yanaco micromelting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50.3 MHz ) spectra for solutions in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ were recorded on a Varian Gemini-200 instrument, chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in ppm downfield from internal tetramethylsilane, and $J$ values are given in Hz . Infrared spectra were recorded on a JASCO FTIR-200 spectrometer. Mass spectra (eV) were recorded on a Hitachi M-2000 spectrometer. Microanalyses were performed with a Perkin-Elmer-240 instrument. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-4 polarimeter operating at $\lambda=589 \mathrm{~nm}$ corresponding to the sodium D line, in the indicated solvent with concentration in grams of solute per $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. HPLC analyses were performed on a JASCO TRI ROTOR IV using $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ COSMOSIL and $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ CHIRALCEL OB-H packed columns (flow rate, $0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ).

## Preparation of the acyclic $\alpha$-sulfinyl enones

4-Methoxyphenyl prop-1-enyl sulfoxide 5b. To a solution of isopropyl 4-methoxybenzenesulfinate ${ }^{17} \mathbf{2}(2.28 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(11 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise a solution of prop-1enylmagnesium bromide, prepared from 1-bromoprop-1-ene $\left(1.46 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 17.1 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) and magnesium ( $389 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $\left(26 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 5 min . After stirring for 10 min at room temperature, the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The aqueous mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, brine $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give the crude sulfoxide, which was purified by column chromatography (hexaneethyl acetate, $40: 60$ ) to give the sulfoxide $\mathbf{5 b}(1.91 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ in an $E: Z$ ratio of $73: 27$. ( $E$ )-5b (Found: C, 61.18; H, 6.31. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, $61.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.16 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f} 0.37$ (hexaneethyl acetate, $40: 60$ ); $v_{\max }$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2950,1595,1500,1440$, 1305, 1260 and 1030; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.91$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.6,6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=$ ), $3.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J \mathrm{I} .6,15.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$, 6.58 ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 6.8,15.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 6.94-7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.50-7.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 17.4,55.3,114.6,126.3$, 135.0, 135.3, 136.1 and 161.6; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (EI) 196 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10 \%$ ), 155 (50) and 148 (100). ( $Z$ )-5b: TLC $R_{f}=0.27$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $40: 60) ; v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2945,1590,1500,1460,1305,1250$ and 1035; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\right), 3.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 6.12-6.33 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ) , 6.94-7.08 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) and $7.50-7.62$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 15.0,55.4,114.8,125.8,135.5$, 136.2, 138.0 and 161.7.

4-Chlorophenyl prop-1-enyl sulfoxide 5 c. The reaction was carried out as described above using isopropyl 4-chlorobenzenesulfinate ${ }^{17} \mathbf{3}(2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the sulfoxide $\mathbf{5 c}(2.04 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%$ ) in an $E: Z$ ratio of $71: 29$. ( $E$ )-5c (Found: C, $53.66 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.59. $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClOS}$ requires C, $53.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.52 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.54$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $40: 60$ ); $v_{\max }$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3015,2910,1630$, 1580, 1480, 1445, 1390, 1090 and 1040; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92$ ( 3 H , dd, $J 1.5$, $\left.6.7, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.24\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 1.5,15.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C} H\right), 6.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, dq, $\left.J 6.7,15.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$ and $7.40-7.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 17.7,125.7,129.4,136.0,136.9,137.2$ and $142.7 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (EI) 200 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 21 \%\right), 152$ (100) and 117 (54). ( $Z$ )-5c: TLC $R_{f}=0.44$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $40: 60$ ); $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3015,2950,1630$, 1480, 1390, 1090 and $1040 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.2,6.7, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 6.15-6.42 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ) and 7.40-7.62 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 15.0,125.2,129.2,136.5,137.1,137.5$ and 142.9 .
( $R$ )-Prop-1-enyl 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl sulfoxide 5d. The reaction was carried out as described above using ( $S$ )-diacetone D-glucosyl 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfinate ${ }^{5}(S)-4(4.94 \mathrm{~g}$, $9.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the sulfoxide $\mathbf{5 d}(2.29 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$. ( $E$ )-5d (Found: C, 73.68; H, 9.51. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{OS}$ requires C, 73.92; H, $9.65 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.26$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $80: 20$ ); $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24}-63.1$ (c 0.482 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2960,1600,1470,1050$ and $1030 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.23,1.25$ and $1.32[18 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.7,6.8,6.8$, $3 \times \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ], $2.10\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 1.6,7.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 2.75-$ $3.01\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, $3.85-4.08\left[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, $6.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 7.1,9.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 1.6,9.9$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH} H\right)$ and $7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 14.7,24.0,24.8,28.8$, 34.3, 123.1, 135.3, 135.9, 136.9, 149.8 and 152.4; m/z (EI) 292 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 19 \%\right), 275$ (100), 233 (39), 191 (74) and 149 (66). (Z)-5d (Found: C, 73.62; H, 9.64. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ OS requires C, $73.92 ; \mathrm{H}$, $9.65 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.37$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $80: 20$ ); $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+203$ (c 0.350 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $v_{\text {max }}$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2960,1600,1470$ and 1055 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.18-1.39\left[18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 1.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.0$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 2.75-3.01\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 3.79-4.05[2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 6.36-6.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$ and $7.06(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 17.8,23.7,24.8,28.2,34.3,123.0,133.5,134.0$, 134.7, 150.3 and 152.6; $m / z$ (EI) 292 ( ${ }^{+}$, 16\%), 275 (100), 233 (40), 191 (77) and 149 (69).
$\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$-3-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-ol 6a. To a solution of LDA ( 13.0 mmol ) was added a solution of ( $R$ )-prop-1-enyl p-tolyl sulfoxide $5 \mathbf{a}^{6}(1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 5.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 3 min . After the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 min , a solution of acetaldehyde $\left(25.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$, $1.17 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ in THF, 29.5 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min , then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The aqueous mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $\left(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give the crude alcohol, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-ethyl acetate, $60: 40$ ) to give the alcohol 6 a $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%)$ as a mixture of four diastereomers composed mainly of the $(E)$-isomers. ( $E$ )-6a (Found: C, $64.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.30 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 64.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.17$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $50: 50$ ); $v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3370$, 2980, 1600, 1495, 1450, 1400, 1380, 1080 and 1030; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.07$ and $1.22\left[3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.7\right.$ and $\left.6.8, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], 1.98(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J 7.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\right), 2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.70-2.84(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.61-4.85[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} H(\mathrm{OH})], 6.43$ and $6.53(1 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{q}, J 7.2$ and $7.2, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 7.22-7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and 7.43-7.59 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); m/z (EI) 224 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 12 \%$ ), 206 (6) and 140 (100).

3-(4-Methoxyphenylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-ol 6b. The reaction was carried out as described above using the sulfoxide $\mathbf{5 b}$ (1.20 $\mathrm{g}, 6.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the alcohol $\mathbf{6 b}(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%)$ as a mixture of four diastereomers; TLC $R_{f}=0.17$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, 30:70); $v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3370,2975,1595,1500,1250,1090$ and $1025 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.01-1.46\left[3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], 1.89-2.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\right), 2.56-292(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.85$ and $3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.05-4.85[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})], 6.23-6.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 6.90-7.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.39-7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$; $m / z$ (EI) $240\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 17 \%\right), 192$ (9) and 156 (100).

3-(4-Chlorophenylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-ol $\mathbf{6 c}$. The reaction was carried out as described above using the sulfoxide $5 \mathrm{c}(1.20 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the alcohol $\mathbf{6 c}(769 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%)$ as a mixture of four diastereomers; TLC $R_{f}=0.31$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $50: 50) ; v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3370,2980,1580,1480,1395,1090$ and 1030; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.02-1.40\left[3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], 1.90-2.24(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\right), 2.42-2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.40-4.92[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})], 6.30-6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C})$ and $7.39-7.67(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{ArH}) ; m / z(\mathrm{EI}) 244\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13 \%\right), 226$ (16) and 160 (100).
( $\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-3-(2,4,6-Triisopropylphenylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-ol 6d. The reaction was carried out as described above using the sulfoxide $5 \mathrm{~d}(1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 3.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the alcohol $\mathbf{6 d}(1.11 \mathrm{~g}$, $91 \%$ ) as a diastereomeric mixture of $(E)$-isomers in a ratio of 57:43 (Found: C, 71.49; H, 9.71. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 71.38; $\mathrm{H}, 9.58 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.26$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, 70:30); $v_{\max }$ (neat) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3315,2970,1600,1460,1370,1110$ and 1020 ; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.05-1.34\left[18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 1.36$ and $1.59[3 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.5$ and $\left.6.7, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], 1.81$ and $1.87(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}$, $J 7.2$ and $\left.7.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\right), 2.59$ and $3.83(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 7.1$ and 7.8, OH), 2.76-3.03 [1 H, m, CH( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 3.58-4.02[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 4.61-5.06[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})], 5.51$ and 5.77 $(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{q}, J 7.2$ and $7.3, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C})$ and 7.07 and $7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}$, ArH ); $m / z$ (EI) 336 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3 \%$ ), 318 (46), 301 (61), 275 (36) and 255 (100).
( $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one 7a.-Method A via the Swern oxidation. ${ }^{7 a}$ To a solution of oxalyl chloride $\left(55.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.636 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dimethyl sulfoxide ( $60.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.848 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the mixture was stirred for 5 min , a solution of the alcohol $6 \mathrm{a}(95.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.424 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(0.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then triethylamine $\left(0.12 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.848 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added to the reaction mixture, which was stirred for 5 min . The reaction mixture was poured into ice-cooled $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $\left(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with ice-water $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give the crude enone, which was purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, $60: 40$ ) to give the enone 7 a ( $64.1 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as a mixture of two diastereomers.

Method B via the Jones oxidation. ${ }^{8}$ To a solution of the alcohol 6 ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.458 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the Jones reagent [prepared from chromium(VI) oxide $(9.99 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}), 97 \%$ sulfuric acid $\left(11.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and water $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ ], until the starting alcohol disappeared on TLC. The reaction mixture was quenched with water $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The aqueous mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to give the crude enone, which was purified by column chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, $60: 40$ ) to give the enone $7 \mathbf{7 a}(86.5 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ as a mixture of two diastereomers. The $(E)$-isomer was further purified by recrystallization from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Found: C, 64.80; H, 6.46. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 64.84; H, $6.35 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.24$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $60: 40$ ); mp 61$62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+255\left(c 0.434\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) /$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2920,1660,1625,1430,1380,1220$ and $1050 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.22(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J 7.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 2.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$, $7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.4, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 7.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.3, \mathrm{ArH})$ and $7.52(2$ H, d, J 8.3, ArH); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 15.7,21.3,31.4,125.6,129.8,139.4,140.1$, 141.8, 146.2 and 195.9; m/z (EI) 222 ( ${ }^{+}, 29 \%$ ), 149 (28), 140 (53) and 139 (100).

3-(4-Methoxyphenylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one 7b. The reaction was carried out as described above (Method A) using the alcohol $\mathbf{6 b}$ ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the enone $7 \mathbf{7 b}$ ( $399 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). An $E: Z=72: 28$ mixture was used for the radical reaction, since attempts to isolate the $(E)$-isomer were unsuccessful (Found: C, $60.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.89 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 60.48 ; \mathrm{H}$,
$5.92 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.51$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $50: 50$ ); $v_{\text {max }}{ }^{-}$ $(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2940,1655,1600,1500,1255$ and $1040 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.22$ and $2.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.24$ and $2.38(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 7.6$ and $\left.7.5, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.83$ and $3.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.90-7.07(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.05$ and $7.32(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{q}, J 7.6$ and $7.5, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C})$ and 7.48-7.63 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); m/z (EI) $238\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 51 \%\right), 190$ (40) and 155 (100).
( $E$ )-3-(4-Chlorophenylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one 7c. The reaction was carried out as described above (Method A) using the alcohol $\mathbf{6 c}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the enone $7 \mathbf{c}(424 \mathrm{mg}$, 86\%) (Found: C, 54.32; H, 4.51. $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 54.43; $\mathrm{H}, 4.57 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.29$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $50: 50$ ); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3080,2930,1660,1620,1480,1380,1210$ and $1050 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 2.31\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} H_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, $7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.6, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C})$ and $7.36-7.65(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 15.8,31.5,126.8,129.3,137.3,140.2,142.2,146.1$ and 195.6 ; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (EI) $242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 51 \%\right), 183(25), 144$ (46) and 112 (100).
( $S, E$ )-3-(2,4,6-Triisopropylphenylsulfinyl)pent-3-en-2-one 7d. The reaction was carried out as described above (Method B) using the alcohol $\mathbf{6 d}(338 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give the enone 7 d ( $242 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ), which was further purified by recrystallization from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Found: C, $71.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.22 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 71.81; H, 9.04\%); TLC $R_{f}=0.43$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, 70:30); $\mathrm{mp} 70-71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+286$ (c 0.402 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2970, 1675, 1600, 1470, 1375, 1190 and 1050; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.20,1.22$ and $1.27[18 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.9,6.9,6.9,3 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ], $2.17\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, 2.73-3.00 [1 H, m, CH(CH $)_{3}$ ], 3.72-4.00 [ $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times$ $\left.\mathrm{C} H\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.6, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C})$ and $7.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 15.7,23.7,25.0,27.8,31.3,34.3,123.1,132.1,135.8,146.7$, 151.3, 153.0 and 197.0; $m / z$ (EI) $334\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6 \%\right), 317$ (4) and 291 (100).

## General procedure for radical $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-addition to $\alpha$-(arylsulfinyl)

 enones 7A solution of the $\alpha$-(arlysulfinyl) enone 7 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ) was degassed under reduced pressure using a sonicator. To this solution was added triethylborane ( 10 equiv.) and isopropyl iodide ( 10 equiv.) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the reaction using an additive, the additive ( 1.1 equiv.) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 1 h before the addition of triethylborane and isopropyl iodide. Then air was passed through the solution by a microfeeder at a rate of $90.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ per 1 mmol of triethylborane. The reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the crude product which was purified by column chromatography to give the addition product $\mathbf{8}$ and the Pummerertype product 9 .
4,5-Dimethyl-3-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-tolylsulfinyl)hexan-2-one 8a. (Found: C, 67.47; $\mathrm{H}, 8.48 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, $67.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.32 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.51$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $60: 40$ ); $v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2970$, 1705, 1360, 1215 and 1060; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.63-1.39\left[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 1.81,1.196$ and $2.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.17-$ $2.70\left[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.13, 3.23, 3.93 and $3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{d}, J 11.7,11.0,6.3$ and 9.7, COCHSO) and 7.22-7.56 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); $m / z$ (EI) 266 (M ${ }^{+}$, $1 \%), 140$ (100) and 127 (70).
4,5-Dimethyl-3-(4-methoxyphenylsulfinyl)hexan-2-one $\mathbf{8 b}$. (Found: C, 63.97; H, 7.92. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, $63.80 ; \mathrm{H}$, $7.85 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.53$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $50: 50$ ); $v_{\max }$ (neat)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2960,1700,1600,1500,1360,1090$ and $1055 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.65-1.34$ [ $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 1.95$ and $2.02(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.17-2.68\left[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 3.13$ and $3.26(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 11.8$ and 10.8 , COCHSO) and 3.73-3.90 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{COCHSO}), 3.84$ and $3.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.96-$ $7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and 7.37-7.51 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); m/z (EI) 282 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 20 \%\right), 156$ (81) and 155 (100).
4,5-Dimethyl-3-(4-chlorophenylsulfinyl)hexan-2-one 8c. (Found: C, $58.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.56 . \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58.63 ; \mathrm{H}$,
$6.68 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.65$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $50: 50$ ); $v_{\max }$ (neat)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2970,1705,1480,1395,1360,1280$ and $1050 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.72-1.39$ $\left[9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right], 1.87,1.96,1.99$ and $2.00(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.4 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} H_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.50-2.70\left[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right]$, $3.13,3.23,3.94$ and $3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{d}, J 12.3,11.0,6.5$ and 9.5 , COCHSO) and 7.37-7.62 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); $m / z$ (EI) 286 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, $3 \%$ ), 217 (5), 202 (8), 160 (100) and 127 (92).
4,5-Dimethyl-3-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-tolylsulfanyl)hex-3-en-2-one 9a. (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 72.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.17 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{OS}$ requires C, $72.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.12 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.80$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $60: 40$ ); $v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2970$, $1730,1690,1490$ and $1270 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.06$ and $1.08[6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.8$ and $\left.6.8, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 1.91$ and $1.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\right), 2.23$ and $2.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.92-3.03$ and 3.43-3.64 $\left[1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and $7.07(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH})$; $m / z(E I) 248\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 233$ (16) and 137 (33).
4,5-Dimethyl-3-(4-methoxyphenylsulfanyl)hex-3-en-2-one 9b. (Found: C, 68.17; H, 7.48. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 68.15 ; \mathrm{H}$, $7.62 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.88$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $50: 50$ ); $v_{\max }$ (neat)/ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2960,1690,1600,1500,1295$ and $1245 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.06$ and 1.07 [ $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.9$ and $6.8, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ], 1.87 and $1.98(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=$ ), 2.22 and $2.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.85-3.05$ and $3.45-3.68\left[1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 3.77\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.82-$ $6.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ and 7.10-7.22 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); m/z (EI) 264 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 250(10), 151(28), 140(50)$ and 113 (75).

4,5-Dimethyl-3-(4-chlorophenylsulfanyl)hex-3-en-2-one 9c. (Found: C, 62.66; H, 6.39. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClOS}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.56$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.37 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.85$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $50: 50$ ); $v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2970,1690,1480,1350,1205$ and $1100 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.03$ and $1.07\left[6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.8\right.$ and $\left.6.8, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 1.91$ and 1.95 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\right.$ ), $2.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.90-3.14$ and $3.30-$ $3.57\left[1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and $7.00-7.25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (EI) $268\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 254$ (20), 225 (12), 155 (24), 143 (13) and 125 (20).

4,5-Dimethyl-3-(2,4,6-triisopropylphenylsulfanyl)hex-3-en-2one 9d. (Found: C, 76.55; H, 10.20. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36}$ OS requires C , 76.61; H, $10.06 \%$ ); TLC $R_{f}=0.72$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $80: 20$ ); $v_{\text {max }}($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2970,1700,1600,1470,1370$ and 1205; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.02$ and $1.09\left[6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, J 6.8\right.$ and $\left.6.8, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 1.13-1.69[21 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{l}, 1.91$ and $1.97(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.50-2.73$ and $3.48-3.75\left[1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, $2.72-2.98\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C} H\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 3.53-3.82[2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] and $6.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; m / z(\mathrm{EI}) 360\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 52 \%\right), 317$ (7), 204 (100) and 189 (67).

5-Methyl-3-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-tolylsulfinyl)hexan-2-one 11. (Found: C, 66.52; $\mathrm{H}, 7.91 . \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, $\left.66.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.99 \%\right)$; TLC $R_{f}=0.17$ (hexane-ethyl acetate, $80: 20$ ); HPLC $t_{\mathrm{R}}=29.02,31.70,33.18$ and 37.44 min (hexane-propan-2-ol, $98: 2$ ); $v_{\max }($ neat $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 2960, 1710, 1680, 1625, 1580, 1355, 1290, 1170 and 1040; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.80-1.00\left[6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 1.15-1.41\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $1.49-1.75\left[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 1.90,2.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, $2.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.54$ and $3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{dd}, J 5.4,9.8$ and 4.4, 9.6, COCHSO) and 7.27-7.53 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); $m / z$ (EI) 252 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5 \%\right), 201(3), 140(100)$ and 139 (89).
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